



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,969	07/17/2003	Daniel Gloaguen	229.022	4017	
23598	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ, S.C.			ARBES, CARL J		
250 E. WISC SUITE 1030	ONSIN AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	EE, WI 53202	3729			
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	<u> </u>	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
Supplemental Office Action Summary		10/622,969		GLOAGUEN, DANIEL	ES		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	C. J. Arbes		3729			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period fe							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, hoon. , a reply within the statutory in period will apply and will expistatute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be timel ninimum of thirty (30) days v re SIX (6) MONTHS from th n to become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this communica (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 July 2003.		•			
		This action is non-f	nal.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the specification is objected to by the Example 1.	hdrawn from consid					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepto to the drawing(s) be he correction is required if	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is objective.	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [S] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been re ments have been re priority documents ureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received .2(a)).	n No I in this National Stage			
2)	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pat Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/622,969

Art Unit: 3729

In view of a telephone call from Attorney Stein and after a review of the Office Action which was mailed on or about 05 February 2005 the Office Action has been rescinded in favor of the non-Final Office Action provided hereinbelow. A new Shortened Statutory Period (SSP) is also provided and begins with the mail date of the instant Office Action.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tournier et al. Tournier et al teach a crimping tool for connection of an electric cable in a end of a contact. An end of a cable is partially stripped and is inserted into an opening in the contact. A first jaw pair (at Cf. 56 in Fig. 3)) are used to radially grip the contact while the contact is moved. This allows second jaw pair to crimp the shaft of the contact as the contact shaft is moving. It would have been obvious to have the second jaw pair move while the first jaw pair is in a tightened position rather than vice versa given the evidence in Tournier et al. The apparatus (or method) taught by Tournier et al is substantially the inverse or converse of that claimed by Applicant. The limitations recited claims 4 and 5 are held to be without patentable merit inasmuch as crimping a copper shaft onto aluminum wire strands and crimping wire at 8 points with the tool is held to be old in this art. Moreover these limitations are within the ordinary skill of an artisan. Alternatively these limitations are held to be mere design choice inasmuch as no

Application/Control Number: 10/622,969

Art Unit: 3729

specific purpose is given nor is any problem solved thereby. It is also noted that Tournier et al indeed teach a trigger to operate the crimping tool (Cf. Fig. 2).

Claims 11 and 12 are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563.

The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, R and F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. J. Arbes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3729